(1) (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, AT- BILASPUR (C.G.)

W. P. No. 2621 OF 2004

BETWEEN

PETITIONER : KARAN SINGH KARASOLIA
AGED- 42 YEARS,
SON OF LATE SHRI M.L.KARASOLIA,
POSTED AS- SUB-ENGINEER,
AT- P.H.E. DIVISION, RAJNANDGAON
DISTRICT- RAJNANDGAON (C.G.)
R/O- KHAIRAGARH, (RAJNANDGAON).

P.R. No. 2631/21
Presented by Shri ...
17-8-04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH,
THROUGH – THE SECRETARY,
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
DEPARTMENT MANTRALAY,
D.K.S.BHAWAN, RAIPR.

2. ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
CHHATTISGARH, RAIPUR.

3. SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
CIRCLE, RAIPUR.

4. SHRI S.K.GUPTA,
ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
CURRENT CHARGE, P.H.E.
SUB-DIVISION, KHAIRAGARH,
DISTRICT- RAJNANDGAON (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
17/8/04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE- 226 & 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, SUITABLE DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS.

(52)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 2621 सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

03/09/2004: -

Shri R.S. Patel, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Dy. Advocate General, with Shri U.N.S. Deo, G.A., Shri Yashwant Thakur, G.A., Shri Satish Gupta, Dy.G.A., Shri Akhil Agrawal and Shri Sandeep Dubey, Panel Lawyers, for the State/respondents.

Application for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter relates to transfer of the petitioner. He further submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the Competent Authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

Having heard and considered the rival contentions, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court ends of justice would be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievance before the State/Competent Authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within ten days, and on such representation being made, the competent authority of the respondents shall consider and decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible, preferably within six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation. If any contravention of policy or guidelines is brought to the notice, the same be considered on its own merits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that after the decision of the representation, if any grievance of the petitioner remains he may be allowed to avail the remedy available under the law. It is open to the petitioner to avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P., if any, stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Copy to State counsel for compliance.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

आगे देखिये

आदेश दिनांक 3/9/04
की प्रति आज दिनांक
को प्राप्त हुई
6/9/04